

REMARKS

The Title has been changed, as suggested by the Examiner, such that it is now more descriptive of the claimed invention.

The Examiner has objected to the disclosure in that "The specification should include "section heading" for each section. Appropriate correction is required."

Applicant notes that while the inclusion of "section headings" is preferred and suggested by the USPTO, this is not a requirement, and Applicant chooses not to include such headings.

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, claims 11, 14 and 15 have been amended for clarity. In addition, claims 4-10 have been indicated as being "Withdrawn".

Applicant believes that the above changes answer the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 112, paragraph 2, rejection of claims 11, 12, 14 and 15, and respectfully requests withdrawal thereof.

Applicant further believes that the above changes answer the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claims 11, 12 and 15, and respectfully requests withdrawal thereof.

The Examiner has provisionally rejected claims 1, 2, 11, 12 and 13 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 9 and 15 of co-pending U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/519,060.

Enclosed herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer indicating said co-pending application.

In view of the above, Applicant believes that claims 1-3 and 11-15 should now be allowable.

Applicant further believes that claims 4-10, as depending either directly or indirectly from claim 1, should be reinstated and allowed.

Applicant therefore believes that the subject application, containing claims 1-15, is now in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by /Edward W. Goodman/
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613
Attorney
Tel.: 914-333-9611